



Consortium Member Agency Meeting
Thursday, February 2, 2005 1:30 P.M. to 3:30 P.M.
Meridian Police Department

AGENDA

I. Consent Agenda

- a. Approval of the January 5 Meeting Notes (pg. 2-4)
- b. Approval of Paul Hastings Invoices for Professional Services (pg. 5-7)

II. Information

- a. Steering Committee Status Update (pg. 8-14)
- b. Scope of Work and Schedule Update

Dr. Freilich will discuss the Phase II scope and products with the Consortium.

- c. Project Coordinator's Report (pg. 15-16)
- d. Funding Status (pg. 17-18)

BGG Funding Committee meeting immediately following Consortium meeting.

The next Consortium Meeting will be March 9, 2006 from 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm at Boise City Hall Foothills Room (first floor). PLEASE NOTE THIS IS A REVISED MEETING DATE AND LOCATION.

Blueprint for Good Growth - c/o Doherty & Associates – 575 E. Parkcenter Blvd, Suite 200 - Boise, ID 83706
Business (208) 336-0420 - Fax (208) 336-2407 – Email kdoherly@dohertyeng.com
www.blueprintforgoodgrowth.com



Countywide Land Use and Transportation Guide Plan

Meeting Minutes

**Consortium Meeting – Meridian Police Department
Thursday, January 5, 2006 1:30 P.M. to 3:30 P.M.**

Attendees:

David Bieter, Mayor, City of Boise *
Elaine Clegg, Council member, City of Boise
Tammy de Weerd, Mayor, City of Meridian *
John Evans, Councilmember, City of Garden City *
John Franden, Commissioner, ACHD *
Zella Johnson, City of Kuna *
Nancy Merrill, Mayor, City of Eagle *
Judy Peavey-Derr, Ada County
Charles Rountree, Idaho Transportation Department *
Eric Shannon, Idaho Transportation Department
Fred Tilman, Commissioner, Ada County
Rick Yzaguirre, Commissioner, Ada County *

Others:

Gerry Armstrong, Planning & Zoning, Ada County
Russ Dane, Realtors Assoc.
Karen Doherty, Doherty & Associates
Kelli Fairless, Valley Regional Transit
Pete Friedman, Ada County
Diane Kushlan, Planning & Management Services
Michael Lauer, Planning Works
Kent McCarthy, Idaho Power
Katey Levihn, ACHD
Matt Stoll, COMPASS
Deanna Smith, Idaho Smart Growth
Bob Taunton, Suncor

President Bieter called the meeting to order at 1:45 P.M. Official delegates are noted with a * in the attendee listing.

CONSENT AGENDA

a. Approval of the December 1 Meeting Notes

Consent agenda approved.

INFORMATION ITEMS

a. Steering Committee Status Update

Michael Lauer summarized the Steering Committee's meeting which was held from 8:30 am to 12:30 am on January 5, 2006. (A copy of the memo summarizing the Steering Committee's January 5, 2006 discussion is attached.)

Members discussed adequate public facilities. There was consensus that these facilities are "moving targets" until ordinances define them. Discussion occurred regarding the exclusion of fire and police services from the adequate public services. Many attendees concluded that the cost of initial services for these are minimal; operations is the larger budget item for these protective services.

Discussion occurred regarding ability to require growth to pay for itself; Michael Lauer stated that this occurred in the Planned Communities portion of the Plan. In terms of avoiding cost differentials in incorporated vs. unincorporated lands, there are no fiscal policies that talk about location of development in the Plan.

Members also discussed ranges of densities. Each community may have different densities and members stressed that we should honor the unique characteristics of our communities. However, there are some "nodes" that are regionally important that may need to be more dense than each city would generally require. Discussion also occurred about the requirement to provide compatible transitions between dense nodes with existing neighborhoods.

The Hearing Master concept was discussed briefly. Members were hesitant to create another layer of government and suggested that the BGG Consortium or a subset of the COMPASS Board be used instead.

Michael Lauer noted there are a number of final "loose-ends" that must be discussed before the Steering Committee will be ready to submit a draft plan for the Consortium. These include definition of the percentage of growth outside cities area of impact, as well as various open space issues including the required amounts, location, and creation. The plan will be provided for the Consortium for review at their March meeting.

b. Scope of Work and Schedule Update and Funding Status

Consortium members reviewed the revised budget as prepared by the consultant team. Karen Doherty and Michael Lauer relayed the Steering Committee's reaction to the abbreviated budget. Many Steering members expressed that they desired action from the plan and that it not be "just another plan on the shelf". Steering Committee members recommended support for the public involvement phases of Phase II as a minimum level of participation from the consultant team. The Steering Committee also suggested actively pursuing fundraising again once Phase I was complete.

The Consortium reaffirmed its commitment to the project during the meeting. The BGG Funding committee will aggressively pursue funding opportunities and a final decision regarding the Phase II budget will be made in late March.

c. Project Coordinator's Report

Karen Doherty presented the project coordination work that her firm has produced, billed, and spent to date.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:15 P.M.



MEMORANDUM:

TO: Blueprint for Good Growth Consortium
FROM: Karen Doherty, P.E. – Project Coordinator
DATE: January 27, 2006
RE: Payment Approval

Action Requested:

Approve the payment of the outstanding invoices for Paul Hastings for Services.

Background:

A billing summary for the services from Freilich/Lauer is listed below. The total invoiced is equal to the task percentage complete basis shown on the following pages. There is an outstanding balance and approval for payment is requested.

Invoice Summary as of 12/31/2005

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>		<i>Service End</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Outstanding Balance</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	6	90885-006M	7/31/2004	\$80.00		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	12	90885-002M	7/31/2004	\$507.00		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	6	90885-007M	8/31/2004	\$26,091.10		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	6	90885-008M	9/30/2004	\$27,475.19		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	7	90885-008M	10/31/2004	\$25,014.58		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	8	90885-008M	11/30/2004	\$19,369.50		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	9	90885-008M	12/31/2004	\$17,853.15		
Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle	10	90885-008M	1/31/2005	\$31,646.58		
Paul Hastings	1432882	58355-00002	2/28/2005	\$24,570.00		
Paul Hastings	1428089	58355-00002	2/28/2005	\$15,828.97		
Paul Hastings	1436919	58355-00002	3/31/2005	\$45,581.40		
Paul Hastings	1443272	58355-00002	4/30/2005	\$13,604.53		
Paul Hastings	1447839	58355-00002	5/31/2005	\$22,879.58		
Paul Hastings	1457555	58355-00002	6/30/2005	\$6,646.42		
Paul Hastings	1464946	58355-00002	7/31/2005	\$21,189.39		
Paul Hastings	1468424	58355-00002	8/31/2005	\$1,435.88		
Paul Hastings	1474635	58355-00002	9/30/2005	\$18,511.46		
Paul Hastings	1482211	58355-00002	10/31/2005	\$25,941.80		
Paul Hastings	1489755	58355-00002	11/30/2005	\$1,981.70	\$1,981.70	(1)
Paul Hastings	1496291	58355-00002	12/31/2005	\$30,738.04	\$30,738.04	(1)
Total Invoiced				\$376,946.27	\$32,719.74	
Total Project Budget				\$ 755,000.00		
Remaining Contract				\$ 378,053.73		

Notes:

(1) Approval for Payment of Outstanding Balance will be sought by Consortium on Feb 2, 2006

BLUEPRINT FOR GOOD GROWTH BUDGET			
	Task Budget	1/1/2006 Percent Complete	Amount Billable Total
Task 1: Consortium Workshop (July)	\$2,384		
Subtotal	\$2,384	100%	\$2,384
Task 2: Initial Review and Analysis			
2.1 Data Assembly.	\$5,960	100%	\$5,960
2.2 Physical Assessment.	\$17,868	100%	\$17,868
2.3 Planning Assessment.	\$19,868	100%	\$19,868
2.4 Regulatory Assessment.	\$19,868	100%	\$19,868
2.5 Growth Assessment.	\$9,934	100%	\$9,934
2.6 Community Issues Identification			
2.6.1 Focus Groups with Stakeholders	\$8,544	100%	\$8,544
2.6.2 Community Workshop (Oct)	\$9,240	100%	\$9,240
2.6.3 Consortium Workshop (Sept)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
2.7 Needs, Issues and Opportunities Report.	\$19,868	100%	\$19,868
2.8 Consortium Workshop (Oct)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
2.9 Interim Development Regulations.	\$4,769	100%	\$4,769
Subtotal	\$124,463		\$124,463
Task 3: Alternatives Map			
3.1 Steering Committee Workshop (Nov)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
3.2 Community Workshops (Nov)	\$9,638	100%	\$9,638
3.3 Alternatives Mapping.	\$9,934	100%	\$9,934
3.4 Consortium Session (Nov)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
Subtotal	\$28,116		\$28,116
Task 4: Refine Alternatives Map			
4.1 Steering Committee Workshop (Dec)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
4.2 Technical Committee Work Session (Dec)	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
Subtotal	\$8,544		\$8,544
Task 5: Alternatives Impact Analysis			
5.1 Initial Analysis.	\$22,948	100%	\$22,948
5.2 Technical Committee Work Session.	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
5.3 Implementation Strategy Development.	\$7,948	100%	\$7,948
5.4 Steering Committee Workshop.	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
5.5 Alternatives Analysis Report.	\$40,730	80%	\$32,584
Subtotal	\$80,170		\$72,024
Task 6: Select Preferred Alternative			
6.1 Steering Committee Workshops.	\$9,638	100%	\$9,638
6.2 Community Workshops.	\$9,638	100%	\$9,638
6.3 Governing Entity Workshop.	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
Subtotal	\$23,548		\$23,548
Task 7: Preferred Alternative Implementation Strategy			
7.1 Draft Implementation Strategy.	\$17,868	85%	\$15,188
7.2 Steering Committee Workshop.	\$4,272	100%	\$4,272
Subtotal	\$22,140		\$19,460
Task 8: Initial Plan Draft			
8.1 Draft Plan.	\$64,571	80%	\$51,657
8.2 Steering Committee Workshop.	\$5,365	80%	\$4,292
Subtotal	\$69,936		\$55,949
Task 9: Stakeholder and Public Review			
9.1 Community Workshops.	\$9,638	0%	\$0
9.2 Focus Groups.	\$4,669	0%	\$0
9.3 Decision-Maker Workshops.	\$4,272	0%	\$0
9.4 Optional Survey.	\$0		\$0
Subtotal	\$18,579		\$0
Task 10: Refine \$24,141			
10.1 Steering Committee Workshop.	\$4,272	50%	\$2,136
10.2 Plan Refinement.	\$18,875	0%	\$0
Subtotal	\$23,147		\$2,136
Task 11: Plan Regulation Adoption			
11.1 Plan Adoption.	\$3,973	0%	\$0
Subtotal	\$3,973		\$0
Subtotal			
TOTAL	\$405,000		\$336,624
Phase II (\$350,000)			
Task 12: Initial Assessment			
12.1 Physical and Market Assessment.	\$19,787	25%	\$4,947
12.2 Regulatory Assessment.	\$19,787	70%	\$13,851
12.3 Legal Assessment.	\$19,787	60%	\$11,872
12.4 Market and Construction Assessment.	\$22,187	0%	\$0
12.5 Infrastructure Assessment	\$22,187	30%	\$6,656
Task 13: Initial Draft Local Plan and Code Revisions.			

BLUEPRINT FOR GOOD GROWTH BUDGET

		1/1/2006	
	Task Budget	Percent Complete	Amount Billable
13.1	Land Use/Growth Management Elements	0%	\$0
13.2	Draft Initial Code Text.	10%	\$5,936
Task 14: Initial Review of Regulatory Documents			
14.1	Planning Commission Summit	0%	\$0
14.2	Planning Commission Briefing Report	0%	\$0
Task 15: Initial Revisions			
15.1	Regulatory Revisions	0%	\$0
15.2	Public Workshops	0%	\$0
15.3	Planning Commission Briefing Report	0%	\$0
Task 16: Final Revisions			
16.1	Final draft ordinances	\$0	\$0
Phase II Totals		\$350,000	\$43,262
Grand Totals		\$755,000	\$379,886

Memo



To: Blueprint for Good Growth Consortium
From: Michael Lauer, AICP
Date: January 27, 2006
Re: Status Report from Steering Committee

At their January 5 meeting, the Blueprint for Good Growth (BGG) Steering Committee reviewed the draft growth management policies. On January 26, the Committee discussed the draft transportation and open space policies, as well as the mechanism for review of plan amendments and developments of regional impact for consistency with the long range transportation and transit plans. The following text summarizes the recommendations from these meetings:

January 5 Committee Recommendations

On January 5, the Steering Committee discussed draft policy language in the Blueprint for Good Growth and provided the following recommendations:

1. **Public Lands Policies** (GM-33). Expand this section to address:
 - a. Analysis of the impacts of the use of State-owned lands; and
 - b. The desirability of:
 - i. maintaining or enhancing public access to public lands for recreational and emergency purposes; and
 - ii. enhancing connectivity between public lands for recreational purposes and to maintain or enhance wildlife corridors.
2. **Transit Corridor Policies** (GM-34-37). Expand and clarify this section to:
 - a. Consistently address the need for consistency between Valley Regional Transit plans and local land use decisions.
 - b. Include a map of high capacity transit corridors and potential station locations along the State Street BRT route and the light rail corridor. Planning Works has coordinated with COMPASS and Valley Regional Transit to prepare an initial draft map. Note: on January 25, the committee suggested that Chinden be evaluated for long range development of BRT service.
 - c. Map the primary bus routes and potential activity centers along those lines.
 - d. Establish clear policy linkage between the need for minimum densities and the potential transit service that could be supported at each density.

- e. Clarify minimum density requirements to support the preservation of existing stable neighborhoods through compatible land use transitions that are in context with those neighborhoods. Note: this language should support transitions to higher densities and not provide an excuse to reduce overall densities where they can be compatibly accommodated.
 - f. Include transit oriented development guidelines in the appendix.
 - g. Address the need for bicycle/transit linkages.
3. **Natural Resources.** The Committee decided that this section should provide a stronger position statement and include some specific policies addressing gravel resource development and stormwater management.
- a. Strengthen natural resource position statement to:
 - i. Address ridgeline and hillside protection;
 - ii. Shift the focus from protection development from flood hazards to protecting floodplain functions in coordination with ACHD, Boise River Flood Control District 10 and the irrigation entities;
 - iii. Emphasize the recreational, transportation and environmental opportunities presented by waterways and irrigation ditches;
 - iv. Increase emphasis on the important linkage between land use, transportation and air quality; and
 - v. Add natural features to the list of open spaces to be protected.
 - b. Add specific policy language addressing gravel resources that:
 - i. Encourages the protection of gravel resources;
 - ii. Establishes review standards for gravel operations that require the site reclamation, as well as increased protection of riverside gravel operations to prevent the degradation of water quality and unintended changes to riverbanks and riverbeds. (Include model language in the BGG appendix).
 - c. Add specific policy language that calls for increased coordination of stormwater management efforts between ACHD, ITD, Boise River Flood Control District 10 and the irrigation entities and an increased emphasis on low impact stormwater management facilities.
4. **Utility Policies.**
- a. Add goals and policies to the Utilities section that address:
 - i. Idaho Power facilities
 - ii. Stormwater management
 - iii. Water conservation
 - iv. Irrigation entities
 - v. Cable, natural gas, phone, wireless and other utilities

- b. Clarify policy U-2 to allow for municipally approved sewer service except for areas planned for **large lot** development.
5. **Public Schools Policies:** Revise the policy language to:
- a. emphasize the importance of addressing the school funding challenges;
 - b. support collaborative efforts to secure more state funding; and
 - c. cite adequate public facilities requirements as one means of dealing with the gap in state funding that should be explored. Note that the committee felt that Policy PS-3 was too specific in its recommendation to establish an APFO program and this also applies to the Public School Objective on the previous page.
 - d. Cite the importance of collocation of schools with other public facilities, opportunities for ongoing community education and opportunities to link school transportation with other public transportation services.

January 5 Committee Recommendations

1. Open Space Policy Discussion

The committee recommended the modification of GM-23 to drop the objective of retaining as much open space land as is developed within the rural tier. However, the Committee recommended that the County overhaul the non-farm subdivision provisions to strengthen design requirements and provide a more workable cluster option. The committee did not support the creation of density bonuses for conservation subdivisions unless high quality natural resource lands were to be preserved.

In dropping the 50% open space requirement for all development in the rural tier (policy GM-23, the Committee recommended the modification of policy GM-32.6 to require that all planned communities retain at least 50% of the gross acreage as permanent open space. The Committee supported the concept of allowing planned community developers to provide a portion of the open space off site through purchase of land or conservation easements in high quality natural resource areas.

The committee also recommended that the plan include a separate section for open space policies that address the needs for open space in each tier.

2. Transportation Policy Discussion

The Steering Committee reviewed the transportation policies in light of the recently proposed ACHD work program and found the policies to be consistent, but suggested several refinements, including:

- Modification of the first objective to clarify the collaborative nature of coordinating land use and transportation plans. Consistency between land use and transportation plans should be evaluated when major changes are proposed to either plan.
- Modification to the second objective to lengthen the time required to adopt and implement adequate public facility requirements for the transportation system;
- Increased emphasis on preserving options for future transit corridors that may not be viable for more than 20 years, but will never be viable if inappropriate development occurs.
- Several other policy clarifications.

Additionally, the Committee discussed how ACHD should transition between planning for trends and planning for the preferred scenario. After extensive discussion, the

Committee recognized that the backlog of approved, vacant lots will result in demands that are more similar to the "Trend" growth scenario than to the "Community Choice" scenario and acknowledged the need for ACHD to base its capital improvement plan (CIP) on the development that local governments approve. As local governments begin approving development patterns in the adopted plans, which closely reflect the "Community Choice" scenario, ACHD's CIP will shift from supporting the trend to supporting the "Community Choice" scenario.

3. Implementation Section Discussion

The Steering Committee rejected the Technical Committee's recommendation that a hearing master position be created to evaluate the consistency of plan major amendments and developments of regional impact with the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, ACHD 20-Year CIP and the Valley Regional Transit Plan. Instead of a hearing master, the Committee felt that initially, the BGG Consortium should make consistency determinations with the support of member agency staffs. The Committee felt that this responsibility could be shifted to a subset of the COMPASS Board when Canyon and/or other counties in the region became part of BGG or other regional plan coordination exercise.

Next Steps

The Steering Committee anticipates completing its review of the draft BGG plan in February, with the expectation that the it will make final recommendations on the Plan to the Consortium at its March meeting.

BLUEPRINT FOR GOOD GROWTH

STEERING COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE ROSTER rev 1/27/06 Page 1

NAME	AGENCY	JOINT 12/9/04	12/15/04	JOINT 1/18/05	2/15/05	3/1/05	3/15/05	4/7/05	5/16/05	6/21/05	7/28/05	8/24/05	9/28/05	10/27/05	11/16/05	12/8/05	1/5/06	1/26/06
Katey Levihn	ACHD			X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Bruce Mills	ACHD	X		X			X		X	X		X						
Steve Price	ACHD				X													
J Schweitzer	ACHD			X	X		X											
Craig Quintana (alt.)	ACHD			X														
Gerry Armstrong	Ada County	X		X			X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Pete Friedman	Ada County	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
Byron Cochrane (alt.)	Ada County			X														
Richard Cook (alt.)	Ada County						X											
Dean Gunderson (alt.)	Ada County	X	X	X	X	X	X		X									
Carla Olson (alt.)	Ada County	X																
Michael Wilson (alt.)	Ada County			X								X						
Roger Sherman	Affordable Housing																	
Paul Calverly	Agriculture		X															
Ruby German	Agriculture			X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
Dawayne Yamamoto	Agriculture	X	X															
Bill Clayton	Boise River Flood Control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jack McLeod (alt.)	Boise River Flood Control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	X
Steve Sweet (alt.)	Boise River Flood Control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	X
Kathleen Lacey	City of Boise	X		X		X	X	X	X					X	X		X	
Karen Gallagher (alt.)	City of Boise											X						
Lance Evans (alt.)	City of Boise															X		X
Charles Trainor	COMPASS	X	X	X	X			X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Patricia Nilsson (alt.)	COMPASS								X									
Toni Tisdale (alt.)	COMPASS	X	X	X					X									
Terri Schorzman (alt.)	COMPASS	X		X														
Nicole Prehoda (alt.)	COMPASS	X																
Debbie Winchar (alt.)	COMPASS			X														
Michael Gifford	Contracting Community																	
Tracy Vance	Chambers (Meridian)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X		X					
Ray Stark	Chambers (Boise Metro)				X			X								X	X	X
James Grunke (alt.)	Chambers (Boise Metro)								X									
Bill Clark	Development (Res.)	X		X	X		X		X	X		X	X		X		X	
David Turnbull	Development (Com.)		X		X		X		X			X	X		X	X	X	
N. Baird-Spencer	City of Eagle				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Peter O'Neill	Economic Development	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X		X
Clay Carley	Economic Development	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	
Andy Brunelle	Environmental		X		X			X	X		X	X		X	X		X	X
Greg Abramson	Garden City (formerly Kuna)						X			X	X				X	X	X	
Councilman John Evans	Garden City		X		X		X	X	X			X		X	X			
Berrin Nejad	Garden City				X													
Deanna Smith	ID Smart Growth	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Charles Rountree	ITD	X			X		X	X		X		X						
Eric Shannon	ITD				X		X			X	X		X			X	X	X
Sue Sullivan (alt.)	ITD	X	X	X	X	X			X					X	X			
Bryant Keuchle (alt.)	ITD	X																
Diana Sanders	City of Kuna	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X		
Melodie Halstead (alt.)	City of Kuna		X															

**BLUEPRINT FOR GOOD GROWTH
STEERING COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE ROSTER rev 1/27/06 Page 2**

NAME	AGENCY	JOINT 12/9/04	12/15/04	JOINT 1/18/05	2/15/05	3/1/05	3/15/05	4/7/05	5/16/05	6/21/05	7/28/05	8/24/05	9/28/05	10/27/05	11/16/05	12/8/05	1/5/06	1/26/06
Anna Canning	City of Meridian							X	X				X	X	X	X	X	
Brad Hawkins-Clark	City of Meridian	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X						
Steve Siddoway (alt.)	City of Meridian	X		X														
Dick Armstrong	Large Employers								X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X
Mike Reynoldson	Large Employers		X						X									
Gary Allen	Neighborhoods	X	X	X	X		X		X			X	X	X		X	X	
Linda Clark	Public Schools	X										X						
Wendel Bigham (alt.)	Public Schools			X					X							X	X	X
Eric Exline (alt.)	Public Schools			X													X	
Mayor Nathan Mitchell	City of Star				X								X					
Sherry McKibben	U of I		X	X					X	X						X	X	X
Ryan Armbruster	Urban Redevelopment			X	X				X									
Kelli Fairless	Valley Regional Transit	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X
Stephen Hunt (alt.)	Valley Regional Transit	X		X														

CONSULTANTS

Dr. R. Freilich	Paul Hastings		X		X								X					
Michael Lauer	Planning Works		X	X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Karen Doherty	Doherty & Associates	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Zach Piepmeyer	Doherty & Associates											X		X	X			
Heather Carroll	Doherty & Associates			X														

**BLUEPRINT FOR GOOD GROWTH
STEERING COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE ROSTER rev 1/27/06 Page 3**

OTHER ATTENDEES																		
NAME	AGENCY	JOINT 12/9/04	12/15/04	JOINT 1/18/05	2/15/05	3/1/05	3/15/05	4/7/05	5/16/05	6/21/05	7/28/05	8/24/05	9/28/05	10/27/05	11/16/05	12/8/05	1/5/06	1/26/06
David Aushman	Fregonese Calthorpe	X		X														
Sonja Hennum	Kittleson & Assoc	X		X														
Russ Dane	Ada Cty Assoc Realtors		X	X	X	X	X	X										
Kathie Brady	Boise County			X														
Susan Mason	BSU			X														
Brant Jewett	Canyon County	X																
Scott Ellsworth	CH2M Hill	X																
Vern Brewer	Holladay Engin Co	X																
Kent McCarthy	Idaho Power						X											X
Cynthia Sewell	Idaho Statesman								X									
Joe Haynes	LHTAC	X																
Diane Kushlam	Observer								X									X
Jennifer Oxley	RBCI			X														
Rosemary Curtin	RBCI	X																
Jane Suggs	JBS Enterprises, LLC											X	X		X			
Julie Lynn	Nouvelle Group											X						
Ryan Cantlon	Colliers											X						
Ashley Ford	WRG Design, Inc											X						
Brian DeHaas	WRG Design, Inc											X						
Diane Kushlan	Garden City												X	X				
Kathleen Moriehacer	City of Boise												X					
Bob Taunton	Suncor													X	X	X	X	
Candy Miller	DE Miller														X			
Mike Wardle	Suncor																	X



MEMORANDUM:

TO: Blueprint for Good Growth Consortium
FROM: Karen Doherty, P.E. – Project Coordinator
DATE: January 27, 2006
RE: **Local Project Coordinator Report**

Action Requested:

This is for your information only. No action is requested.

Background:

We have been providing services for the BGG as local project coordinator. Our work has included but not limited to meeting facilitation, including agenda and background data preparation and distribution; documentation of meetings; website maintenance; Communities in Motion coordination, public meeting attendance and presentations; neighborhood and business group presentations; media relations; financial analysis, consultant coordination, and many others. Following is a summary of the services Doherty & Associates has provided to date.

**Blueprint for Good Growth, Inc.
Project Billing Summary
by Doherty & Associates, Inc.
for Project Coordination Services**

Invoice Summary as of 1/30/2006

**Actual Consultant Hours Spent to
Date as of 1/30/2006**

<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Hours</i>	<i>Total</i>
1240	12/31/2004	\$ 7,058.82	Doherty	773.00	\$ 81,165.00
1249	1/31/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Carroll	60.50	\$ 5,082.00
1254	3/9/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Malloy	155.25	\$ 4,360.21
1270	4/1/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Piepmeyer	36.65	\$ 2,565.50
1276	5/2/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Nemec	57.00	\$ 3,819.00
1297	5/31/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Brosious	3.00	\$ 84.27
1312	6/30/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Hackett	33.00	\$ 2,178.00
1319	7/31/2005	\$ 3,529.41	Total	1118.40	\$ 99,253.98
1331	8/30/2005	\$ 3,529.41			
1340	10/3/2005	\$ 3,529.41			
1350	10/31/2005	\$ 4,716.91			
1360	11/28/2005	\$ 4,716.91			
1372	12/30/2005	\$ 4,716.91			
1383	1/30/2006	\$ 4,716.91			
Total Invoiced to date		\$ 57,691.15			



MEMORANDUM:

TO: Blueprint for Good Growth Consortium
FROM: Karen Doherty, P.E. – Project Coordinator
DATE: January 27, 2006
RE: **Funding Committee Status**

Action Requested:

For information only. This information provides you with a background on the funding status. An estimate of March 31, 2006 was set at the January 5, 2006 Consortium meeting for a decision about funding the remaining portion of Phase II. It is estimated that the Consultant team will reach the current funded budget near the end of March.

Background:

Funding Solicitation Status

Mayor Bieter and Mayor Merrill are working to establish meetings with Idaho Power, Blue Cross, Blue Shield, and Intermountain Gas. Mayor Bieter and Commissioner Franden will be meeting with United Water (Greg Wyatt).

Commissioner Peavey-Derr and Steve Price (ACHD) are working to set up meeting with Micron to continue solicitation of funding.

Non-Profit Status

Application for non-profit status is in review at the IRS. Requested expedited review, however expedited review is doubtful since we cannot show a grant which will be lost if we do not gain non-profit status.

Next Funding Committee Meeting

The next Funding Committee meeting will be held immediately (330 – 430 pm) after the February 2 Consortium meeting.

Funding Status

Following is a summary of the total contributions to date.

Name	Total	Notes
Ada County	\$ 160,000	\$50k ea Phase I and II; \$60k for Doherty
ACHD	150,000	\$122k for Phase I; \$28k for Phase II.
City of Boise	100,000	\$50k ea Phase I and II
ITD	50,000	
City of Meridian	30,000	
US Environmental Protection Agency	22,500	
City of Eagle	20,000	
City of Kuna	20,000	
City of Star	20,000	
City of Garden City	10,000	
COMPASS Yr End Sweep	9,500	Balancing Committee meeting soon to finalize transfer. Doherty supplemental.
Boise River Flood Control District #10	7,500	
Hewlett Packard Boise Operations	5,000	Phase II
Tom Ryder	500	
Total Contributions	\$ 605,500	

Overall Financial Status	
Phase I Budget	\$ 405,000
Phase II Budget	350,000
Local Project Coordination Budget	69,500
Total Budget	\$ 824,500
Less Total Contributions	(605,500)
Total Deficit (Phase II)	\$ 219,000

Paul Hastings (Freilich) Financial Status	
Total Budget	\$ 755,000.00
Less Total Invoiced as of 12/31/2005	(376,946.27)
Remaining Contract	\$ 378,053.73
Remaining Money for Paul Hastings (Freilich) Obligations	\$ 159,053.73
Total Deficit (Phase II)	\$ 219,000.00