



Steering/Technical Committee Meeting

Thursday, March 5, 2009, 10:00 A.M. to Noon

COMPASS, Conference Room

800 S. Industry Way, Suite 100

Meridian, Idaho

AGENDA

I. Consent Agenda

- a. Approval of the February 5, 2009, Meeting Minutes (page 2) ****

II. Action/Discussion Items

- a. Review February 12, 2009, Consortium Meeting***
- b. Consider Creating Work Groups with Associated Assignments***
- c. Consider Recommending Altered Consortium and Steering Committee Meeting Schedules***
- d. Review Level of Service Analysis (Sally Goodell)***
- e. Review COMPASS' Approved Unbuilt Analysis***
- f. Identify Agenda Items for March 12, 2009, Consortium Meeting***
- g. Other Items***

III. Adjourn

**** Attachments***

T:\FY09\700 Services\761 BGG\Steering ommittee\agenda03 05 09.doc



Steering/Technical Committee Meeting

Thursday, February 5, 2009, 10:00 A.M. to Noon

COMPASS, Conference Room

800 S. Industry Way, Suite 100

Meridian, Idaho

Meeting Minutes

I. Consent Agenda

a. Approval of the January 8, 2009, Steering/Technical Committee Meeting Minutes

Matt Stoll requested moving the January 8, 2009, meeting minutes off of the Consent Agenda for correction of a typo on page 4.

II. Action Items

a. Approval of the January 8, 2009, Meeting Minutes

Deanna Smith moved and Patricia Nilsson seconded approval of the January 8, 2009, Steering/Technical Committee meeting minutes with the correction to last sentence on Page 4, to read, "...but not developed as part of this process." Motion passed with Mark Wasdahl abstaining.

III. Discussion Items

a. Review Progress on Scope of Work Development Elements

- Annual Workshop and Communications Plan

Deanna Smith said the basic idea of the Annual Educational Workshop for the elected officials and Communication Plan for outreach to the public on what has been accomplished was vetted through the Subcommittee of the Steering Committee and will be presented to the Consortium on February 12, 2009, for their approval to move forward.

Deanna discussed the process for developing a 5 Year Work Plan, which would include a matrix of the land use policies with time frames and responsible entities.

Sally said Patricia has started developing a policy matrix outlining what we have done to advance the goals, some of which are not yet accomplished.

Patricia said it may be a good tool or exercise to develop the plan to identify what we are doing, what we are not doing at 100%, what is expected, and if we want to do more.

Deanna said one of the challenges of BGG is that the priorities were never articulated.

Anna said the first thing is to finish the policy matrix and pull out the policies that were onetime events and have been completed. TLIP needs to get done before we can move forward, and we need to identify how BGG is different from the Alliance.

Patricia will send the policy matrix to Star and Eagle. Boise and Meridian have completed it.

- ***Policy/Implementation/Technical Framework***

Sally said last fall it was realized that the draft APFO was structured in a way that was not getting to the fundamental objectives that BGG was intending. In particular, because of the first growth gets capacity method. In an effort to show what needed to be done, Patricia developed a table articulating the idea that the comp plans and the transportation plan need to be linked well together to get to the right starting point to continue the link into the development review and entitlement process. The draft APFO focused on the development review and entitlement process, but missed the precursor that sets the baseline against which you are evaluating all the development.

Sally reviewed the combined Policy/Implementation/Technical framework based on 20 years buildout. It was developed to summarize, in an integrated way to the Consortium where we are, and what needs to be done this year, and what it entails from the communities and various jurisdictions standpoint.

Sally stated at this point it is acknowledged that the goal is to get adequate public facilities for growth focusing on transportation. If the cities and county have a desire to protect or preserve transportation for their planned growth, or have unplanned growth pay its way, then the transportation agencies have to understand the land use plans. That means the communities need to quantify their comp plans so planned growth and unplanned growth can be clearly distinguished, which will put the responsibility for mitigation on unplanned growth.

Sally said the main question to the Consortium is there sufficient benefit in adequate public facilities accounting, and possible future ordinances to devote the resources to getting this detailed out? The other question is will the outcome be defensible for decision making?

Nichoel noted that comp plans, as written today, have never been modeled to determine what the transportation system needs would be.

Anna said that integrating the transportation analysis into the comp plan elements is essential to the success of the Treasure Valley. When talking about adequate public facilities, what is wanted is better information on the results of land use decisions, a cumulative impact analysis on transportation. Anna said she is unsure if it is an ordinance or just the information that is needed, but noted that each area may want different information.

Patricia said there is also a need for a standard set of projections for population to measure the regional system for adequacy.

Deanna stated another question for the Consortium is, are we looking at adequate public facilities for only transportation or for open space, agriculture, sewer and schools as well?

Anna responded that it would be up to each jurisdiction to determine if a proposal they are looking at has adequate fire, police, schools, etc. according to their individual standards. It will not be a one size fits all.

Nichoel added that is why transportation has been honed in on. It is a single service provider, ACHD, who interacts with each of the jurisdictions. The other service providers have not been at the table regularly, and those other providers may need their own spin off. They have multiple jurisdictions that do not always agree.

Nichoel said it may be a mistake to go to the Consortium about what will be focused on after transportation, until progress has been made on transportation.

Matt recapped that previously the Steering Committee discussed wanting to develop a Scope of Work and specifics on how to accomplish the transportation part, which the Consortium has focused on, and whether it can be successful in dealing with the transportation/land use interaction. The Steering Committee then asked at what point in time it should bring back to the Consortium the question of whether the Consortium wants to stop at transportation or look at what the recommendations were at the beginning of BGG. At that time, the Steering Committee agreed that transportation should be completed first.

Gary Allen stated he agreed that the transportation piece should be successfully completed before moving forward.

Elizabeth Conner asked if the elected officials agree with the wording "planned" and "unplanned?" Sally noted that the language came out of the draft APFO.

The Steering Committee discussed the need to develop an agreed upon definition of the terms "planned" and "unplanned," and that different verbiage may need to be used.

Sally recapped what the draft 2009 Work Plan could look like:

- Quantify comp plans for 20 years of buildout;
- Define methodology;
- Build countywide model for intersection level of service analysis;
- Develop methodology for calculating and distributing trips from the existing, and committed unbuilt development; and
- Develop long term tracking system.

- ***Update of Level of Service Analysis***

Sally reviewed the current version of the draft Peak Hour Level of Service Map and an Intersection Analysis. She noted that this shows only what is on the ground today, not the approved unbuilt.

- ***Update on COMPASS' Findings of Approved Unbuilt***

Mary Ann Waldinger provided an update on the comp plan buildout scenario. COMPASS staff has been working with the City of Eagle in working through the development of a process and mechanics of how COMPASS staff would take a comp plan and assign numbers to the land use categories. There will be four different sets of numbers: existing committed unbuilt; control total; comp plan control total; and buildout preservation. Mary Ann said that she will come back to the Steering Committee in March 2009 with the results of the exercise with the City of Eagle.

- ***Update on Area of Impact Process and Next Steps***

Anna Canning provided an update on the Area of Impact Process. Anna said Ada County developed an unofficial map that draws the lines of common boundaries between the entities. It will be up to the Consortium as to whether it is adopted. She said that the next step is for the County to meet with each of the cities and incorporate the subarea process into the Title 9 Agreements.

b. Discuss Agenda Items for February 12, 2009, Consortium Meeting

The Agenda Items for the February 12, 2009, Consortium Meeting are:

- * Election of Officers
- * Review Draft Policy Matrix – Patricia Nilsson
- * Review Area of Impact Process and Next Steps – Anna Canning
- * Approve Proposed 2009 Work Program – Sally Goodell
- * Review Integrated Land Use/Transportation Framework – Sally Goodell

T:\FY09\700 Services\761 BGG\Steering Committee\agenda02 05 09.doc

**BGG Steering/Technical Committee Meeting
SIGN-IN SHEET**

Date: February 5, 2009

Name	Representing	Phone #
1. MARK WASHAK	ITD - DISTRICT 3	334-8344
2. Sally Goodell	ACHD	387-6129
3. LeAnn Carlson	Meridian Joint School Dist #2	350-5035
4. Neil Stoll	COMPASS	
5. Michael Penn Spencer Eagle	Eagle	939-0227
6. Lynne Sedlaek	Eagle Sewer Dist	939-0132
7. Charles Trainor	COMPASS	855-2558
8. Sherry Kitzke	Coj Idaho	364-4540
9. Patricia Nilsson	City of Boise	384-3842
10. Mary Shaw Taylor	City of Star	908-5455
11. Renee Juhl	Idaho Smart Growth	333-8066
12. ANNA CANNING	MERIDIAN	884-5533
13. Catherine Dickinson	Public	407-2269
14. AMAR PILLAI	ACHD	387-6238
15. JUSTIN LUCAS	ACHD	—
16. Mary Ann	COMPASS	
17. CARL	COMPASS	
18. RAY STARK	CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE	
19. Mark Tate	M3	939-6263
20. Elizabeth Conner	City of Garden City	472-2927
21. Garry Allen	Givers Presley	388-1200
22. Nancy Brekes	COMPASS	
23.		
24.		